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ABSTRACT

Table lookup or searching is a common task performed in SAS. Base SAS® Version 8, as well as earlier versions, offer many lookup methods such as SET with KEY=, arrays, SQL joins, formats, and MERGE with a BY statement. SAS Version 9 introduced the DATA Step hash object, which provides additional ways to perform table lookups without the need for sorting or indexing. This paper analyzes the programming details of the various table lookup methods and compares the advantages and disadvantages of each approach. The comparisons shown in this paper will aid programmers in selecting the optimal table lookup method to use, as dictated by their specific situation.
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INTRODUCTION

Searching for a value in one dataset when given a value from another dataset is one of the most frequent data processing operations. This value is often referred to as a "key". For example, given subject identification, one could retrieve the patient's demographics or other treatment related information from the dataset.

Examples of commonly used table lookup methods are:

- Join with PROC SQL
- MERGE with BY statement
- SET statement with KEY= option
- Positional Lookup with _Temporary_ Arrays
- Table Lookup using Formats
- Lookup with Hash Object

Some of these techniques use lookup values stored on disk, while others are designed to provide an in-memory lookup. In order to identify the best lookup mechanism, the programming details of each technique will be examined.

JOIN WITH PROC SQL

Using SQL, multiple datasets can be joined without having common variables across all datasets. Datasets do not have to be sorted or indexed.

Suppose a lookup dataset SV contains subject identification (usubjid), visit number (visitnum), visit date (svstdtc) and planned study day of visit (visitdy). The master dataset QS has subject identification (usubjid), visit number (visitnum) and the questions the subject answered at each visit. Example 1 demonstrates how to use SQL to merge svstdtc and visitdy into dataset QS based on usubjid and visitnum.

**Example 1:**

```sql
proc sql;
    create table qs_sql
    as select qs.usubjid, qs.visitnum, sv.svstdtc as qsvisdtc,
        sv.visitdy as qsvisdy, qs.qstestcd, qs.qstest,
        qs.qsorres, qs.qsstresc, qs.qsstresn
    from sdtm.qs qs left join sdtm.sv sv
```
In Example 1 above, the SQL code left join allows every record in the QS dataset to be included in the final dataset even if no matching usubjid and visitnum is found in SV.

**MERGE WITH BY STATEMENT**

Match-merging is a commonly used technique to combine observations from two or more datasets when the values of the BY variables are the same and requires the input datasets to be sorted or have an appropriate index. Example 2 demonstrates how to use this technique to achieve the same results as in Example 1.

**Example 2:**

```sql
cproc sort data=sdtm.sv out=sv;
  by usubjid visitnum;
run;

cproc sort data=sdtm.qs out=qs;
  by usubjid visitnum;
run;

data qs_merge;
  merge qs(in=a) sv;
  by usubjid visitnum;
  if a;
  qsvisdtc=svstdtc;
  qsvisdy=visitdy;
run;
```

The IN= dataset option is used here to include all observations from QS dataset.

**SET STATEMENT WITH KEY = OPTION**

Lookup operations can be performed using the KEY = option with one or more SET statements if the lookup dataset is indexed by unique key variables. No sorting or indexing is required on the master dataset. The composite key can include a combination of variables with either numeric or character types and multiple values can be returned. Example 3 shows another way to achieve the same result as the previous examples.

**Example 3:**

```sql
cproc sql;
  create index patvis
    on sdtm.sv (usubjid, visitnum);
quit;

data qs;
  length qsvisdtc $19;
  set sdtm.qs;
  set sdtm.sv(keep=usubjid visitnum svstdtc visitdy)
    key=patvis/unique;
  if _iorc_ =0 then do;
```
qsvisdte=svstdtc;
qsvisdty=visitsdy;
   end;
else do;
   _error_=0;
   qsvisdte='';
   qsvisdty=.
end;
run;

First, PROC SQL is used to create index patvis consisting of usubjid and visitnum. Second the index created is specified in the KEY= option in the SET statement of the lookup dataset. Note that only the lookup dataset needs to be indexed. Also note that the order of the datasets specified is important. The master dataset must be specified first, and the lookup dataset specified second. In order to match each of the duplicate patient visit records on the dataset QS with its corresponding matching record on the SV lookup dataset, the UNIQUE option must be specified. The UNIQUE option forces SAS to begin at the top of the lookup table each time it performs a lookup operation. If _IORC_ returns a value of 0, it indicates that SAS found a matching observation. To prevent a data error from being written to the log in the event that a match is not found, _error_ is set to 0.

**POSITIONAL LOOKUP WITH _TEMPORARY_ ARRAY**

Arrays can be used to perform a table lookup when the values to be returned are positionally identified and the key is a numeric value.

For example, a demography dataset, DEMO (shown in Figure 1), has the following variables: subjid, weight, height, age and type. Patients are assigned to types 1-4 based on their age and height. The IDEALWGT dataset (shown in Figure 2) is the lookup table which contains the ideal weight and height for types 1-4. The heights listed in the IDEALWGT dataset are even numbers only. For patients with an odd-numbered height, the next highest level of height is used to get the ideal weight.

**Figure 1:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBS</th>
<th>SUBJID</th>
<th>AGE</th>
<th>HEIGHT</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>000100001</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>61.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>000100002</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>67.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>000100003</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>000100004</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>000100005</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>80.1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>000100007</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>000100008</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>62.0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>000100009</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 2:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OBS</th>
<th>TYPE1</th>
<th>TYPE2</th>
<th>TYPE3</th>
<th>TYPE4</th>
<th>HEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example 4:

```sas
data wgt_array(keep=subjid weight height age sex type wgtdiff);
    array wgt{160:190, 4} _temporary_; 
    if _n_=1 then do i=160 to 190 by 2; 
        set idealwgt; 
        array tmp{4} type1-type4; 
        do j=1 to 4; 
            wgt{height, j}=tmp(j); 
        end; 
    end; 
    set demo; 
    if mod(height, 2) =1 then hgt_typ=height+1; 
    else                      hgt_typ=height; 
    if nmiss(wgt{hgt_typ, type}, weight)=0 then 
        wgtdiff=wgt{hgt_typ, type}-weight; 
run;
```

In Example 4, a temporary two-dimensional array is created to hold the suggested weight table. _N_=1 is used such that the array is only loaded the first time through the DATA step. The index variable i is used so that the SET statement is executed for each observation in the IDEALWGT dataset. The SET statement on the primary dataset DEMO computes the difference between patients' weight and ideal weight.

TABLE LOOKUP USING FORMATS

The FORMAT procedure can be used to define tables that store coded values and the corresponding definitions of the codes. These user-defined formats can be referenced when a table lookup operation is needed. Formats can be created by listing the value pairs or by generating them from an existing dataset.

In the following example, the DM dataset has subject identification (usubjid), age (age), sex (sex), treatment (trtp) and treatment start date (rfstdtc). It is of interest to get some subject-related information into dataset QS in order to perform some efficacy analyses.

Example 5:

```sas
data subj;
    keep start label fmtname type hlo;
    retain fmtname '$subj' type 'c'; 
    set sdtm.dm (rename=(usubjid=start)) end=last; 
    label=put(sex, $char2.) || put(age, 3.) 
    || put(trtp, $char50.) || put(rfstdtc, char19.); 
    output;
    if last then do;
        hlo='0'; 
        label='';
        output;
    end;
run;
```

```sas
proc format cntlin=subj;
run;
```
In Example 5, a format is created using the lookup dataset DM. Note that hlo='O' is used to allow the capture of all non-matching usubjid's from the QS. The CNTLIN=option is used to read the data and create the format. With formats, only one variable can be used for table lookup and only one value is returned. Thus, multiple PUT functions are required to construct the return value, and the value is later parsed to get subjects' demographic information.

**LOOKUP WITH HASH OBJECT**

When using DATA step hash object, neither dataset is required to be sorted or indexed. The key may be composite and may simultaneously consist of both numeric and character values and multiple data items can be stored per key.

The following example demonstrates the use of a hash object for table lookup using the same SV and QS datasets in the previous Example 1-3.

**Example 6:**

```sas
data qs_format;
  set qs;
  sex=substr(put(usubjid, $subj.),1, 2);
  age=input(substr(put(usubjid, $subj.),3, 3),3.);
  trtp=substr(put(usubjid, $subj.),6, 50);
  rfstdtc=substr(put(usubjid, $subj.), 56, 19);
run;
```

The above example first initializes the attributes of hash variables originating from an existing dataset by using a non-executing SET statement. Next, the composite key consisting of usubjid and visitnum is defined using DEFINEKEY. As a final step, the data elements svstdtc and visitdy are defined using DEFINEDATA. Multiple variables can be defined to be either character or numeric. The DEFINEDONE method must be called to complete the initialization of the hash object. The Find method is used to search for the composite
key value of *usubjid* and *visitnum* in the dataset QS. If the search is successful, the return code *rc* is set to 0 and multiple numeric and/or character results can be returned.

**Comparison of Lookup Methods**

The table below summarizes the pros and cons of the various lookup methods discussed above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROC SQL</td>
<td>Multiple datasets can be joined without having common variables in all datasets</td>
<td>Requires more resources than the DATA step with the MERGE statement for simple joins.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Datasets do not have to be indexed or sorted</td>
<td>Complex business logic is harder to incorporate into the joins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MERGE With BY</td>
<td>Multiple values can be returned</td>
<td>Datasets must be sorted or indexed based on the BY variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fast, sequential access of input datasets</td>
<td>Must have exact match on the key values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No limit to the size of the table, other than disk space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set with KEY=</td>
<td>Only records where a match occurs are read from the look-up file, thus processing time is reduced</td>
<td>An index on the lookup dataset is required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multiple values can be returned</td>
<td>Creating and maintaining an index uses resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Composite key could be used to look up data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>TEMPORARY</em> Arrays</td>
<td>Use of multiple values to determine the array element to be returned</td>
<td>Memory requirements to load the entire array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ability to use a non-sorted and non-indexed base dataset</td>
<td>Return of only one single value from the lookup operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fastest lookup method by using the key to access the data directly</td>
<td>A contiguous chunk of memory is requested when the array is declared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does not require exact match to lookup the data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FORMATS</td>
<td>Fast, binary search through lookup table</td>
<td>Memory requirements to load the entire format for the binary search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use of only one variable for the table lookup and only one value is returned</td>
<td>Use of only one variable for the binary search</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Requires more memory than a hash object</td>
<td>Requires more memory than a hash object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hash Objects</td>
<td>No sorting or index is required</td>
<td>Hash object records must fit into memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Key and data can be composed of multiple values of both character and numeric type</td>
<td><em>TEMPORARY</em> array lookup faster than using a hash object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dynamically grow to fit as many records that fit into memory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provides in-memory data storage and retrieval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Use the key for quick data retrieval</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY

This paper provides a variety of techniques for managing various table lookup problems. While there are many solutions to one lookup operation, one method may be more efficient than another depending on the size of the lookup table. Generally the larger the lookup dataset is in relation to the master table, the more the problem appears to be a match merge. Hash object is best used when the dataset for the hash table is relatively small when compared to the master dataset and it fits in memory. However, an array will be faster than a hash object or format if the Cons listed above can be accommodated. Depending on the size of the lookup table, many different approaches are possible.
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